

# On the Outer and Inner Invariants of Connes

K. R. PARTHASARATHY AND R. J. PLYMEN

*Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Centre, New Delhi-110029 India, and  
Department of Mathematics, The University, Manchester M13 9PL, England*

*Communicated by A. Connes*

Received May 5, 1979

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the approximately finite  $\text{II}_1$  factor of Murray and von Neumann. The group of all  $*$ -automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is denoted  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be elements of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\alpha$  is *conjugate* to  $\beta$ , written  $\alpha \sim \beta$ , if  $\alpha = \sigma\beta\sigma^{-1}$  for some  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ . The automorphism  $\alpha$  is *periodic* if  $\alpha^n = 1$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

A complete set of invariants for the conjugacy classes of periodic elements of  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  was recently found by Connes [4]. These invariants are denoted  $p_0$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\epsilon$  where

- (i)  $p_0$  is a positive integer,
- (ii)  $\gamma$  is a scalar of modulus 1 such that  $\gamma^{p_0} = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\epsilon$  is a probability measure in the  $q$ th roots of unity, for some positive integer  $q$ .

The pair  $p_0, \gamma$  is called the *outer invariant*;  $\epsilon$  is the *inner invariant*.

Let  $E$  be real separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let  $j$  be a linear map from  $E$  to the self-adjoint part of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that

- (a)  $j(x)^2 = \|x\|^2 \cdot 1$  for all vectors  $x$  in  $E$ ,
- (b)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is generated by the image of  $j$ .

Such maps certainly exist [2]. Let

$$\text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A}; j) = \{\phi \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} : \phi(j(E)) \subset j(E)\}.$$

This is the subgroup of *Bogoliubov* automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , relative to the map  $j$ . This terminology originates with the quantum theory of the free fermion field: see, for example, Balslev, Manuceau, and Verbeure [1].

Let  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  be two maps satisfying conditions (a) and (b). Now  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the *von Neumann-Clifford* algebra over  $j_1(E)$  and  $j_2(E)$ , each of which is a copy of  $E$ . Consequently, there exists a unique automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $j_2 = \sigma \circ j_1$ . Then  $\phi \in \text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A}; j_1)$  if and only if  $\sigma\phi\sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A}; j_2)$  so that  $\text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A}; j_1)$  and

$\text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A}; j_2)$  are conjugate subgroups. Therefore the subgroup of Bogoliubov automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is determined up to conjugacy. The von Neumann–Clifford algebra is studied in great detail by Schrader and Uhlenbrock [6, Proposition 3.16]. The *Clifford group* as defined by Bourbaki [3, Section 9] is essentially the classical analog of the group of Bogoliubov automorphisms.

From now on, we shall denote the subgroup of Bogoliubov automorphisms by  $\text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A})$ . It is clear that

$$\text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A}) \cong O(E)$$

where  $O(E)$  is the full orthogonal group of  $E$ ; the orthogonal transformation determined by  $\phi \in \text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A})$  is its restriction to  $E$ . Our main result is:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a periodic automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with outer and inner invariants  $p_0(\alpha)$ ,  $\gamma(\alpha)$ ,  $\epsilon(\alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is conjugate to a Bogoliubov automorphism if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i)  $p_0(\alpha) = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \gamma(\alpha) = 1; \epsilon(\alpha) = \epsilon_1 * \dots * \epsilon_n$ ,
- (ii)  $p_0(\alpha) = 2, 4, 6, \dots; \gamma(\alpha) = -1; \epsilon(\alpha) = \epsilon_1 * \dots * \epsilon_n$ .

Here,  $\epsilon_j$  is the symmetric Bernoulli measure on a pair of conjugate  $q$ th roots of unity,  $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $*$  denotes convolution.

It follows immediately that there are countably many conjugacy classes of periodic elements in  $\text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A})$ .

In the statement of Proposition 1, let  $\epsilon_j$  be supported by a pair of conjugate  $q$ th roots of unity, where  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ; and let  $q$  be the least number with this property. The period of  $\alpha$  (an invariant) is as follows:  $qp_0(\alpha)$  in case (i);  $2qp_0(\alpha)$  in case (ii).

It is clear that  $\epsilon(\alpha)$ , a probability measure in the set of  $q$ th roots of unity, is a *dyadic* measure, i.e., assigns to each  $q$ th root a dyadic rational.

When  $\epsilon_1 = \dots = \epsilon_n = \dots = \epsilon_n$ , the inner invariant is, modulo  $2\pi$ , a binomial distribution wrapped around the unit circle, centered at the point  $(1, 0)$ .

Our proof of Proposition 1 depends on a classical result of Blattner concerning the inner automorphisms in  $\text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A})$ , and on the more constructive proof of Blattner's theorem by de la Harpe and Plymen [5].

## 2. THE OUTER AND INNER INVARIANTS OF CONNES

Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$  be the group of all automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , let  $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{A})$  be the normal subgroup of inner automorphisms, and let

$$\text{Out}(\mathfrak{A}) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})/\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{A})$$

be the quotient group.

Let  $W$  be a unitary element in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\text{Ad } W$  is the inner automorphism defined by

$$(\text{Ad } W)T = WTW^{-1}$$

for all  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ . The number  $p_0(\alpha)$  is defined as follows:

$$\{n \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha^n \in \text{Inn } \mathfrak{A}\} = p_0(\alpha)\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad p_0(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each  $\alpha$ ,  $p_0(\alpha)$  is an integer called the *outer period* of  $\alpha$ ; it is zero if all the non-zero powers of  $\alpha$  are outer.

We have  $\alpha^{p_0} = \text{Ad } U$ . For all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}$  we have

$$\sigma(\text{Ad } U) \sigma^{-1} = \text{Ad } \sigma(U).$$

Hence

$$\text{Ad } \alpha(U) = \alpha(\text{Ad } U) \alpha^{-1} = \alpha \alpha^{p_0} \alpha^{-1} = \alpha^{p_0} = \text{Ad } U.$$

Therefore  $U/\alpha(U)$  lies in the center of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Consequently

$$\alpha(U) = \gamma(\alpha)U,$$

where  $\gamma(\alpha)$  is a complex number of modulus 1. The number  $\gamma(\alpha)$  is clearly independent of the choice of  $U$  such that  $\alpha^{p_0(\alpha)} = \text{Ad } U$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\alpha)^{p_0(\alpha)} U &= \alpha^{p_0(\alpha)}(U) \\ &= U U U^{-1} \\ &= U, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\gamma(\alpha)^{p_0(\alpha)} = 1.$$

**DEFINITION.**  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  are called *outer conjugate* if there exists  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\beta$  and  $\sigma \alpha \sigma^{-1}$  have the same image in  $\text{Out } \mathfrak{A} = \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A} / \text{Inn } \mathfrak{A}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be the coset of  $\alpha$  in  $\text{Out } \mathfrak{A}$ . Clearly,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are outer conjugate if and only if  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  are conjugate in  $\text{Out } \mathfrak{A}$ .

**DEFINITION.**  $(p_0(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha))$  is the *outer invariant* of  $\alpha$ .

**PROPOSITION 2 (Connes).** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are outer conjugate if and only if  $p_0(\alpha) = p_0(\beta)$ ,  $\gamma(\alpha) = \gamma(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Connes [4].

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{p_0(\alpha)} &= \text{Ad } U, \\ \alpha(U) &= \gamma(\alpha) U, \\ p_m &= p_0 \cdot (\text{order } \gamma), \\ \alpha^{p_m} &= \text{Ad } V,\end{aligned}$$

so that we may take  $V = U^{(\text{order } \gamma)}$ . The natural number  $p_m$  is called the *minimal period* of  $\alpha$ . Let

$$\beta = \alpha^{p_m}$$

and suppose further that  $\beta$  is *periodic*, i.e.,

$$\beta^k = 1$$

for some  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $F$  be the spectral measure of  $V$ . Since  $V$  is unitary,  $F$  is a spectral measure defined on the Borel sets of the unit circle  $S^1$ . Since  $\beta^k = I$ , it follows that  $V^k = \lambda_0 \in S^1$ . We define the *inner invariant* of  $\alpha$  to be

$$\epsilon(\alpha) = \tau \circ F,$$

where  $\tau$  is the trace on the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\epsilon(\alpha)$  is a probability measure on the set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  of  $k$ th roots of  $\lambda_0$  and is determined up to a rotation of  $S^1$ . It is easy to see that two inner automorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are conjugate if and only if  $\epsilon(\alpha) = \epsilon(\beta)$  and that all probability measures on  $S^1$  which have support contained in the  $k$ th roots of some  $\lambda_0 \in S^1$  arise as  $\epsilon(\alpha)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3 (Connes).** *Two periodic automorphisms  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{A}$  are conjugate if and only if they have the same outer and inner invariants, i.e.,*

$$p_0(\alpha) = p_0(\beta), \quad \gamma(\alpha) = \gamma(\beta), \quad \epsilon(\alpha) = \epsilon(\beta).$$

*Proof.* Connes [4].

Let  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\alpha)$  and let  $\hat{\epsilon}$  be the Fourier transform of  $\epsilon$ . The dual group of the circle group  $S^1$  is the integer group  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\hat{\epsilon}$  is by definition

$$\hat{\epsilon}(n) = \int z^n d\epsilon(z).$$

Now

$$V = \int z dF(z),$$

$$V^n = \int z^n dF(z),$$

$$\tau(V^n) = \int z^n d\epsilon(z)$$

so that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\hat{\epsilon}(n) = \tau(V^n).$$

It is technically easier to work with the Fourier transform  $\hat{\epsilon}$  rather than  $\epsilon$ ; we shall compute  $\hat{\epsilon}(\alpha)$  whenever  $\alpha$  is a periodic Bogoliubov automorphism, and subsequently determine  $\epsilon(\alpha)$ .

### 3. COMPUTATION OF THE OUTER INVARIANT

Following the notation of Blattner [2], let  $G^+$  be the group of all  $T$  in  $O(E)$  such that

- (i)  $I - T$  is Hilbert-Schmidt,
- (ii)  $\dim\{e \in E: Te = -e\}$  is even,

and let  $G^-$  be the set of all  $T$  in  $O(E)$  such that

- (iii)  $I + T$  is Hilbert-Schmidt,
- (iv)  $\dim\{e \in E: Te = e\}$  is odd.

Let

$$\text{Inn}_E(\mathfrak{A}) = \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{A}) \cap \text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A}).$$

If  $R \in O(E)$ , then  $\Gamma(R)$  is the unique element in  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$  such that

$$\Gamma(R) x_1 \cdots x_n = R x_1 \cdots R x_n$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . The map  $R \mapsto \Gamma(R)$  is an isomorphism of  $O(E)$  onto  $\text{Aut}_E(\mathfrak{A})$ . Let  $N$  be the normal subgroup of  $O(E)$  corresponding to  $\text{Inn}_E(\mathfrak{A})$ , so that  $R \in N$  if and only if  $\Gamma(R) \in \text{Inn}_E(\mathfrak{A})$ . The following result of Blattner is crucial:

**PROPOSITION 4.**  $N = G^+ \cup G^-$ .

We are interested in Bogoliubov automorphisms  $\alpha$  with outer period  $p_0$ . To simplify notation we shall write  $p = p_0$ . In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \Gamma(R), \\ \alpha^p &= \text{Ad } U, \\ R^p &\in G^+ \cup G^-. \end{aligned}$$

Our first task is, accordingly, to identify those  $R$  in  $O(E)$  such that  $R^p \in G^+ \cup G^-$ . Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \\ \sin \lambda & \cos \lambda \end{pmatrix} = S(\lambda).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|1 - S(\lambda)\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}\{1 - S(\lambda)\}^* \{1 - S(\lambda)\} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \\ \sin \lambda & 1 - \cos \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \cos \lambda & \sin \lambda \\ -\sin \lambda & 1 - \cos \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 2 - 2 \cos \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 2 - 2 \cos \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= 4(1 - \cos \lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|1 + S(\lambda)\|_2^2 &= \text{Tr}\{1 + S(\lambda)\}^* \{1 + S(\lambda)\} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \cos \lambda & \sin \lambda \\ -\sin \lambda & 1 + \cos \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \\ \sin \lambda & 1 + \cos \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 2 + 2 \cos \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 2 + 2 \cos \lambda \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= 4(1 + \cos \lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $R \in O(E)$ . Suppose that  $I - R^p$  or  $I + R^p$  is compact. By the spectral theory of compact operators on real Hilbert space, there exist orthogonal subspaces  $E^+$ ,  $E^-$ ,  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3, \dots$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &= E^+ \oplus E^- \bigoplus_n E_n, \\
 R &= (1) \oplus (-1) \bigoplus_n S(\lambda_n), \\
 R^p &= (1) \oplus (-1)^p \bigoplus_n S(p\lambda_n),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\dim E^+ = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1,$$

$$\dim E^- = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1,$$

$$\dim E_n = 2.$$

Suppose first that  $R^p \in G^+$ . Then  $\sum (1 - \cos p\lambda_n) < \infty$ . Subject to this condition, the following four possibilities arise:

- (1)  $R^p = \bigoplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,
- (2)  $R^p = (1) \bigoplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,
- (3)  $R^p = (-1)^p \bigoplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,  $p$  even,
- (4)  $R^p = (1) \oplus (-1)^p \bigoplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,  $p$  even.

When  $R^p \in G^-$ , we have  $\sum (1 + \cos p\lambda_n) < \infty$ . Subject to this condition, the following three possibilities arise:

- (5)  $R^p = (1) \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,
- (6)  $R^p = (-1)^p \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,  $p$  even,
- (7)  $R^p = (1) \oplus (-1)^p \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,  $p$  odd.

In each case (1)–(7), we are going to compute a unitary operator  $U$  such that

$$\alpha^p = \text{Ad } U$$

and then compute  $\gamma(\alpha)$  directly from the defining equation

$$\alpha(U) = \gamma(\alpha)U.$$

We consider cases (1)–(4), corresponding to  $R^p \in G^+$ , simultaneously. Here, we have

- (1)  $R^p = \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,
- (2)  $R^p = (1) \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,
- (3)  $R^p = (1) \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,  $p$  even,
- (4)  $R^p = (1) \oplus (1) \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,  $p$  even

subject to

$$\sum (1 - \cos p\lambda_n) < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $r_n$  be the unique integer such that

$$-\pi < p\lambda_n + 2r_n\pi \leq \pi$$

and set

$$\psi_n = p\lambda_n + 2r_n\pi.$$

Condition (3.1) is now  $\sum (1 - \cos \psi_n) < \infty$  and since  $-\pi < \psi_n \leq \pi$  this means that  $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ . Hence there exists  $n_0$  such that  $1 - \cos \psi_n/2 \leq 1 - \cos \psi_n$  whenever  $n \geq n_0$ . Hence

$$\sum (1 - \cos \psi_n/2) < \infty.$$

Set

$$v_n = \cos \psi_n/2 - \sin \psi_n/2 \cdot e_{2n-1}e_{2n},$$

where  $\{e_{2n-1}, e_{2n}\}$  is an orthonormal basis in the Euclidean plane  $E_n$ . Set

$$U = \prod v_n = v_1 v_2 v_3 \cdots.$$

Then the infinite product  $\prod v_n$  is strongly convergent in the unitary group of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and

$$\alpha^p = \Gamma(R^p) =: \text{Ad } U$$

by Lemma 6(ii) in [5].

It is easy to see that  $e_{2n-1}e_{2n}$  is an eigenvector of  $\Gamma(R)$  with eigenvalue 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(R) e_{2n-1}e_{2n} &= R e_{2n-1} \cdot R e_{2n} \\ &= (\cos \lambda_n \cdot e_{2n-1} + \sin \lambda_n \cdot e_{2n})(-\sin \lambda_n \cdot e_{2n-1} + \cos \lambda_n \cdot e_{2n}) \\ &= \cos^2 \lambda_n \cdot e_{2n-1}e_{2n} - \sin^2 \lambda_n e_{2n}e_{2n-1} \\ &= e_{2n-1}e_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Gamma(R) v_n = v_n$ . By strong continuity of  $\Gamma(R)$  we have

$$\Gamma(R) \prod v_n = \prod v_n.$$

This equation says that  $\alpha(U) = U$ . Hence  $\gamma(\alpha) = 1$  in cases (1)–(4).

It remains to consider cases (5)–(7). Here, we have

- (5)  $R^p = (1) \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,
- (6)  $R^p = (1) \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,  $p$  even,
- (7)  $R^p = (1) \oplus (-1) \oplus_n S(p\lambda_n)$ ,  $p$  odd,

subject to

$$\sum (1 + \cos p\lambda_n) < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Let  $s_n$  be the unique integer such that

$$-\pi < p\lambda_n + 2s_n\pi \leq \pi$$

and set

$$\phi_n = p\lambda_n + 2s_n\pi - \pi.$$

Then  $1 + \cos p\lambda_n = 1 + \cos(\phi_n + \pi) = 1 - \cos \phi_n$  so condition (3.2) is

$$\sum (1 - \cos \phi_n) < \infty.$$

Since  $-\pi < \phi_n \leq \pi$ , this means that  $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$ . Hence

$$\sum (1 - \cos \phi_n/2) < \infty.$$

Set

$$u_n = \cos \phi_n/2 - \sin \phi_n/2 \cdot e_{2n-1}e_{2n},$$

$$U = \prod u_n = u_1 u_2 u_3 \cdots.$$

Then  $\prod u_n$  is strongly convergent in the unitary group of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , by Lemma 6(ii) in [5].



Let  $e^+$  (resp.  $e^-$ ) be a unit vector in  $E^+$  (resp.  $E^-$ ). We claim that, in cases (5)–(7), a unitary  $U$  such that  $\alpha^p = \text{Ad } U$  is given by

$$(5) \quad U = e^+ \cdot \prod u_n,$$

$$(6) \quad U = e^- \cdot \prod u_n,$$

$$(7) \quad U = e^+ \cdot \prod u_n.$$

This claim depends on the following standard observations:

(i)  $\text{Ad } e^+ = -\rho$ , where  $\rho$  denotes mirror reflection in the orthogonal complement of  $E^+$ ;

(ii)  $\text{Ad } e^- = -\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes mirror reflection in the orthogonal complement of  $E^-$ ;

(iii)  $\text{Ad } u_n$  determines a rotation through  $p\lambda_n - \pi$  in the Euclidean plane  $E_n$ ;

(iv)  $e^+$  and  $e^-$  commute with  $\prod u_n$ .

In cases (5) and (7), we compute  $\gamma(\alpha)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(U) &= \Gamma(R) U \\ &= Re^+ \cdot \Gamma(R) \prod u_n \\ &= e^+ \cdot \prod u_n \\ &= U \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\gamma(\alpha) = 1$ . In case (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(U) &= \Gamma(R) U \\ &= Re^- \cdot \Gamma(R) \prod u_n \\ &= -e^- \cdot \prod u_n \\ &= -U \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\gamma(\alpha) = -1$ .

Collating the information thus obtained and quoting Proposition 2 of Connes, we have

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a periodic automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  with outer invariant  $p_0(\alpha)$ ,  $\gamma(\alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is outer conjugate to a Bogoliubov automorphism if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

$$(i) \quad p_0(\alpha) = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \gamma(\alpha) = 1,$$

$$(ii) \quad p_0(\alpha) = 2, 4, 6, \dots; \gamma(\alpha) = -1.$$

## 4. COMPUTATION OF THE INNER INVARIANT

We proceed to refine Lemma 1 by computing the inner invariant  $\epsilon(\alpha)$  of a periodic Bogoliubov automorphism.

The notations are exactly the same as in previous sections.

We consider first cases (1)–(4). Here, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^p &= \text{Ad } U, \\ U &= \prod v_n, \\ \gamma(\alpha) &= 1, \\ p_m &= p, \\ \beta &= \alpha^{p_m} = \text{Ad } U,\end{aligned}$$

where  $p_m = p(\text{order } \gamma(\alpha)) = \text{minimal period of } \alpha$ . We suppose further that  $\beta$  is periodic, i.e.,

$$\beta^k = 1$$

for some  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . We have

$$\text{Ad } U^k = 1$$

and so  $U^k = \lambda_0 \in S^1$ . We take, without loss of generality,  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . Now the  $v_n$  mutually commute, the product  $\prod v_n$  is strongly convergent, and so we have

$$\prod v_n^k = 1.$$

Taking the trace, we get

$$\prod \tau(v_n^k) = 1.$$

Therefore  $\tau(v_n^k) = 1$ , and so  $v_n^k = 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Hence  $k\psi_n/2 = 2l_n\pi$  with  $l_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus

$$\psi_n = 4l_n\pi/k.$$

Since  $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a finite  $N$  such that  $\psi_n = 0$  whenever  $n > N$ . Thus  $U$  is a finite product:

$$U = v_1 \cdots v_N.$$

Let  $Q_n^+ = (1 + ie_{2n-1})/2$ ,  $Q_n^- = (1 - ie_{2n-1}e_{2n})/2$ . Then  $Q_n^+ + Q_n^- = 1$  and  $(Q_n^+)^* = Q_n^+ = (Q_n^+)^2$  so that  $Q_n^+$  and  $Q_n^-$  are orthogonal projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The spectral resolution of  $v_n$  is clearly

$$v_n = \exp(i\psi_n/2) \cdot Q_n^+ + \exp(-i\psi_n/2) \cdot Q_n^-.$$

Therefore

$$U = \sum \exp \frac{i}{2} (\pm \psi_1 \cdots \pm \psi_N) Q_1^\pm \cdots Q_N^\pm.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\epsilon}(r) &= \tau(U^r) \\ &= 2^{-N} \sum \exp \frac{ir}{2} (\pm \psi_1 \pm \cdots \pm \psi_N) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^N \cos(r\psi_n/2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We now consider cases (5) and (7). Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^n &= \text{Ad } U, \\ U &= e^+ \cdot \prod u_n, \\ \gamma(\alpha) &= 1, \\ p_m &= p, \\ \beta &= \alpha^{n_m} = \text{Ad } U, \\ \beta^k &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

We must have

$$(e^+)^k = 1, \quad u_n^k = 1$$

so that  $k$  is even and

$$k\phi_n/2 = 2l_n\pi$$

for some  $l_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But  $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; therefore  $U$  is a finite product

$$U = e^+ \cdot u_1 \cdots u_N.$$

Let  $Q^+ = (1 + e^+)/2$  and  $Q^- = (1 - e^+)/2$ . Then  $U$  has the spectral resolution

$$U = (Q^+ - Q^-) \prod_{n=1}^N \{\exp(i\phi_n/2) \cdot Q_n^+ + \exp(-i\phi_n/2) \cdot Q_n^-\}.$$

Hence

$$U^r = (Q^+ + (-1)^r Q^-) \prod \{\exp(ir\phi_n/2) \cdot Q_n^+ + \exp(-ir\phi_n/2) \cdot Q_n^-\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\epsilon}(r) &= \tau(U^r) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}\{1 + (-1)^r\} 2^{-N} \sum \exp \frac{ir}{2} (\pm\phi_1 \pm \cdots \pm \phi_N) \\
 &= \cos^2 r\pi/2 \cdot \prod_{n=1}^N \cos(r\phi_n/2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

since  $\cos^2 r\pi/2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos r\pi)$ .

Finally, consider case (6). Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha^n &= \text{Ad } U, \\
 U &= e^- \cdot \prod u_n, \\
 \gamma(\alpha) &= -1, \\
 p_m &= 2p, \\
 \beta &= \alpha^{p_m} = \text{Ad } U^2, \\
 \beta^k &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this case  $p_m = 2p$  and so we set  $V = U^2$  and compute  $\tau(V^r)$ . Now

$$V = \prod u_n^2$$

and again  $V$  is a finite product:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V &= u_1^2 \cdots u_N^2, \\
 V^r &= \sum \exp ir(\pm\phi_1 \pm \cdots \pm \phi_N), \\
 \hat{\epsilon}(r) &= \tau(V^r) \\
 &= \prod_{n=1}^N \cos r\phi_n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In formula (4.1) we have  $k\phi_n/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ ; in (4.2) we have  $k$  even and  $k\phi_n/2 \equiv 0$ ; in (4.3) we have  $k\phi_n \equiv 0$ . Note that (4.1) and (4.2) hold when  $\gamma(\alpha) = +1$ ; (4.3) holds when  $\gamma(\alpha) = -1$ . We have established:

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a periodic Bogoliubov automorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then the Fourier transform of the inner invariant  $\epsilon(\alpha)$  is a finite product of cosine terms:*

$$\hat{\epsilon}(r) = \prod \cos r\theta_n \quad r \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $k\theta_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$  for some natural number  $k$ .

We proceed to deduce Proposition 1 from this result. Now  $\exp i\theta_n$  is a  $k$ th root of unity, and all such roots arise from suitable choices of  $p_0$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the symmetric Bernoulli measure with support  $\{\exp i\theta_n, \exp(-i\theta_n)\}$ . Then

$$\hat{\mu}(r) = \int \mathcal{Z}^r d\mu(\mathcal{Z}) = \frac{1}{2} \exp ir\theta_n + \frac{1}{2} \exp(-ir\theta_n) = \cos r\theta_n.$$

Taking the inverse Fourier transform, we find that  $\epsilon(\alpha)$  is a finite convolution product

$$\epsilon_1 * \cdots * \epsilon_n,$$

where  $\epsilon_j$  is the symmetric Bernoulli measure on a pair of conjugate  $q$ th roots of unity. Proposition 1 now follows from Lemmas 1 and 2, and Proposition 3 of Connes.

## 5. EXAMPLES

Let  $\epsilon_0$  be the symmetric Bernoulli measure on  $\{-1, +1\}$ . If  $\eta$  is the symmetric Bernoulli measure on  $\{-i, +i\}$ , then

$$\eta * \eta = \epsilon_0.$$

The probability measure with support  $\{1\}$  will be denoted 1.

### EXAMPLE 1.

$$\begin{aligned} R &= (1) \oplus S(\pi/2) \oplus S(\pi/2) \oplus S(\pi/2) \oplus \cdots, \\ R^2 &= (1) \oplus (-I), \\ \alpha^2 &= \Gamma(R^2) = \text{Ad } e^+, \\ \alpha(e^+) &= Re^+ = e^+, \\ \gamma(\alpha) &= 1, \\ p_m &= p_0 = 2, \\ \epsilon(\alpha) &= \epsilon_0, \\ \alpha^4 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Invariants.*  $(p_0, \gamma, \epsilon) = (2, 1, \epsilon_0)$ .

### EXAMPLE 2.

$$\begin{aligned} R &= (-1) \oplus S(\pi/2) \oplus S(\pi/2) \oplus S(\pi/2) \oplus \cdots, \\ \alpha^2 &= \Gamma(R^2) = \text{Ad } e^-, \\ \alpha(e^-) &= Re^- = -e^-, \\ \gamma(\alpha) &= -1, \\ p_m &= 2p_0 = 4, \\ \alpha^4 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Invariants.*  $(2, -1, 1)$ .

EXAMPLE 3.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \text{Ad } e, & \|e\| &= 1, e \in E \\ R &= (1) \oplus (-I), \\ \alpha(e) &= Re = e, \\ \gamma(\alpha) &= 1, \\ \epsilon &= \epsilon_0, \\ \alpha^2 &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

*Invariants.*  $(1, 1, \epsilon_0)$ .

EXAMPLE 4.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha' &= \text{Ad } e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4, & \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} & \text{ orthonormal in } E, \\ R' &= (-1) \oplus (-1) \oplus (-1) \oplus (-1) \oplus (I), \\ \alpha'(e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4) &= R' e_1 \cdot R' e_2 \cdot R' e_3 \cdot R' e_4 = e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4, \\ \gamma(\alpha') &= 1, \\ \epsilon &= \epsilon_0, \\ \alpha'^2 &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

*Invariants.*  $(1, 1, \epsilon_0)$ .

In examples 3 and 4 we see that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are conjugate involutions, even though  $R$  is not conjugate in  $O(E)$  to  $R'$  because  $R$  and  $R'$  have different spectral multiplicities. Indeed,  $R \in G^-$  and  $R' \in G^+$ .

Since  $\epsilon_0 * \epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0$ , it follows that

$$\eta * \eta = \eta * \eta * \eta * \eta = \eta * \eta * \eta * \eta * \eta * \eta = \cdots,$$

where  $\eta$  is the symmetric Bernoulli measure on  $\{+i, -i\}$ , a conjugate pair of fourth roots of unity. There is therefore no “unique factorization” among the convolution products  $\epsilon_1 * \cdots * \epsilon_n$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R. J. Plymen would like to thank Pierre de la Harpe, University of Geneva, for suggesting the problem of computing the outer invariant of a periodic Bogoliubov automorphism, and for discussions which led to an improved exposition; he would also like to thank Klaus Schmidt, University of Warwick, for several valuable discussions. The collaboration took place when K. R. Parthasarathy was a British Council visitor at Manchester University.

*Note added in proof.* The factorization problem among the convolution products turns out to be a delicate matter, and is studied in some detail in [7].

#### REFERENCES

1. E. BALSLEV, J. MANUCEAU, AND A. VERBEURE, Representations of anticommutation relations and Bogoliubov transformations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 8 (1968), 315–326.

2. R. J. BLATTNER, Automorphic group representations, *Pacific J. Math.* **8** (1958), 665–677.
3. N. BOURBAKI, Eléments de mathématique. Formes sesquilineaires et formes quadratiques, in “Algèbres” Chap. 9, Hermann, Paris, 1959.
4. A. CONNES, Periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite factor of type  $II_1$ , *Acta Sci. Math.* **39** (1977), 39–66.
5. P. DE LA HARPE AND R. J. PLYMEN, Automorphic group representations: a new proof of Blattner’s theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.* **19** (1979), 509–522.
6. R. SCHRADER AND D. A. UHLENBROCK, Markov structures on Clifford algebras, *J. Functional Analysis* **18** (1975), 369–413.
7. R. J. PLYMEN, Cyclotomic integers and the inner invariant of Connes, *J. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.